



# Vermont Parole Board Staff Meeting Agenda April 23, 2024

The Meeting will be held in person at the Parole Board Office and via Microsoft Teams.

**Join on your computer or mobile app**

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 228 634 398 981

Passcode: hje3qY

**Or call in (audio only)**

[802-828-7667](tel:802-828-7667)

Phone Conference ID: 999 360 032#

**9:00 am – Board Business**

- Review Agenda
  - Additions
  - Deletions
- Review and Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes
- Transitional Housing and Termination from Program
  - Gary Marvel to join the meeting.
- Early Discharge Requests
  - John Justice
- Structured Decision-Making Framework
  - Discuss how it is going.
    - Discuss areas of difference in coding – spreadsheet included.
    - Case Reviews – 2 cases with coding differences.
      - Zachary Butts
      - Donald Griggs
    - Completely filling out the forms
- Witnesses invoking 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to not testify during a violation hearing.
- Violation Matrix – discontinuing use
- Parole Summary – Adding check box regarding Victim Services support.
- Refresher of making motions
- May Schedule
  - May 29<sup>th</sup> – 1<sup>st</sup> technical assistance visit from the Center for Effective Public Policy Update
- Executive Session
  - Legal Session
  - Personnel Issues

# Parole Board Staff Meeting

## MINUTES

<b>DATE AND TIME</b>	March 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2024. 9:00 AM
<b>LOCATION</b>	Virtually vis Microsoft Teams - <a href="#">Parole Board Teams Meeting Link</a>
<b>TYPE OF MEETING</b>	Parole Board Staff Meeting
<b>FACILITATOR</b>	Mary Jane Ainsworth
<b>NOTE TAKER</b>	Colby Leno
<b>ATTENDEES</b>	Dean George, Patricia Boucher, Wayne Dengler, Luci Stephens, Thomas Giffin, Linn Caroleo, Mary Jane Ainsworth, Colby Leno, Carla Vecchione. Special Attendees: Jill Martin from the Prisoner's Rights Office, Assistant Attorney General Lauri Fisher Absent Board Member: Richard Grassi

### Agenda topics (See Attachment A for agenda)

1. TOPIC: REVIEW AGENDA

PRESENTER: DEAN GEORGE

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Review of the staff meeting agenda that was sent out to the board members prior to the meeting commencing.	
<u>Attendees Input:</u> Mary Jane: Proposed removal of "Technical Assistance" topic as it was a carryover from last month. Additional topics of "Attorney Representation at Parole Consideration Hearings" and "Legislature Updates" were also proposed.		
Thomas made the motion to amend the agenda of the meeting as proposed. Luci seconded. Vote: 6 in favor, 1 absent. The agenda was approved as amended.		
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	The agenda for the 03/26/2024 Parole Board Staff meeting was amended and approved.	
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>
None at this time.		

1. TOPIC: REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

PRESENTER: DEAN GEORGE

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> The office staff prepared meeting minutes of the last Parole Board Staff Meeting held on 1/23/2024 for the board to review. (See attachment B)	
<u>Attendees Input:</u> Patricia made the motion to accept the minutes from the 01/23/2024 Parole Board Staff Meeting as presented. Wayne seconded. Vote: 6 in favor, 1 absent. The previous meeting minutes were approved.		
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Meeting Minutes from the 01/23/2024 Parole Board Staff Meeting were approved.	
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>
None at this time.		

3. TOPIC: TRANSITIONAL HOUSING AND TERMINATION FROM PROGRAM

PRESENTER: MARY JANE AINSWORTH

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Review of a meeting that was had with DOC concerning transitional housing programs.		
<u>Questions:</u>			
1. Will DOC be putting out anything in writing concerning transitional housing standardization that the board can review? (Dean)			
a. Unsure. DOC can be invited to a future Parole Board Staff Meeting to speak more about this topic.			
<u>Attendees Input:</u>			
Mary Jane: Wanted to inform the board that she attended a meeting with DOC concerning transitions housing programs that DOC contracts with. DOC is working on standardizing program rules with these contractors. This pertains to programs like DISMAS House and JUDD House. There was discussion if an offender is in these transition housing programs and violates, are they in violation of the boards program rules or housing rules or potentially both.			
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Information only. No vote or action taken.		
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>	
Invite DOC to a future staff meeting to talk about transitional housing.	Mary Jane Ainsworth	Open	

4. TOPIC: STRUCTURED DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK

PRESENTER: DEAN GEORGE & MARY JANE AINSWORTH

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u>		
a. Discuss how it is going?			
b. Discuss areas of difference in coding.			
c. Continued discussion around when to go live with more or all cases.			
<u>Questions:</u>			
1. Regarding institutional/community behavior – If the offender is incarcerated, should the focus be on how they have been in the facility and not the community. (Patricia)			
a. We should look at how long they have been in the facility and possibly take both into account if the offender has not been in the facility for long. You should also look at the behavior that incarcerated/returned them to the facility.			
<u>Attendees Input for Subitem a:</u>			
Patricia: Using the tool makes her think of the questions on the tool even during non-SDMF cases. Still having trouble with the controlled behavior domain.			
Linn: Really wants institutional behavior and community behavior to be separate domains. Summarizing these 2 different topics into one domain is difficult especially with offenders who are often in and out of the facility.			
Thomas: Looks at if the offender is returned to the facility from the field and why. That takes care of this section. The cases where the offender’s supervision history is abysmal, but the offender is still getting out and the board still parole’s the offender anyways even when the offender codes as “aggravating” in most domains.			
Wayne: Agreed with Linn because an offender might be great in the facility and the moment they are released they violate their conditions. Feels it is hard to separate when they are in and out so fast. He has problems with offenders who have been incarcerated for an extended period of time and how to evaluate the demonstration of change?			
Dean: Everyone should be signed up for the 2 trainings that are being offered this week and in April. MJ should be the spokesperson for the training as she will be in the office the date of the training even though she is not a board member. Cases that are assigned as SDMF cases have better interviews.			
Luci: Still struggling with the ability to control behavior domain. She believes this domain is historical and if so, what history should be looked at? Is it all of their history or just the history that applies to their current sentence. Appreciates seeing the other board members’ notes on the domains and it helps know how the other members are thinking.			
Mary Jane: Vermont is unique with furlough and the domains of community/institutional behavior should be reviewed with the trainers. Board Members need to register for the trainings in advance of the training to receive the training link. Review of the offender’s plan and if it’s realistic. This would apply to the demonstration of change domain. The SDMF tool is a guide to look at a case and the offender as a whole. Just because an offender is coded “aggravating” in most domains, it doesn’t mean that the board will deny parole. The tool is a guide to help frame the board decisions and make the decisions made more consistent. For the upcoming training, everyone should attend but they have asked for 1 person to be the spokesperson for the state.			
<u>Attendees Input for Subitem b:</u>			
Mary Jane: There were more inconsistencies this month than last month and more forms not completed. There is no way to tell if there is one factor that is causing the discrepancies in coding and might just be the nature of the cases. Next month, we will review a couple of cases where there was a discrepancy in coding. Deliberative sessions are a good time to discuss where people are stuck on their coding.			
Dean: When the hearing is over, he reviews his notes with the other members that were on the hearing just to compare and see if the other members caught something that he did not.			
<u>Attendees Input for Subitem c:</u>			

Mary Jane: The new parole summary will be coming out soon and will be generated by OMS. Hopeful to have a version to present to the board in April with a roll out in June.

Dean: The cases with the new parole summary are so much better. We should stick with 3 SDMF cases per hearing site until the new summary is live.

Luci: The new summary is so helpful because there is so much more information. Having the case staffing is so helpful as well.

<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Information only. No vote or action taken.
--------------------	--

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None at this time.		

5. TOPIC: APRIL SCHEDULE

PRESENTER: MARY JANE AINSWORTH & DEAN GEORGE

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Discussion of any conflicts in the month of April and when the April Staff meeting will be scheduled. There has been an influx of cases coming before the board and how the board should handle the number of cases. (See attachment C)
-------------------	---

Questions:

1. Should we schedule a turbo hearing day to be a catch all for the hearing dates that go past the time cut off? Or should we schedule another hearing date to catch the overflow? (Mary Jane)
  - a. There have been a lot of very heavy hearing days the last couple months. The overflow day would just be for parole consideration hearings. If we create an overflow day, turbos should not be needed as the overflow day can accommodate 12 cases.
2. Should we look at how we are scheduling hearings with the introduction of SDMF and the number of cases being submitted? (Mary Jane)
  - a. We should discuss at our next in person staff meeting how we should handle scheduling of cases with the implementation of SDMF for all parole consideration cases and the current number of cases that are being submitted.

Attendees Input:

Mary Jane: Not sure if this trend in case load will continue or not without studying DOC's head count, but it may continue because DOC is catching up on overdue cases and there has been an influx in in-person RR cases. The office staff is very cognizant of the number of cases on the schedules when changes are requested. MJ would like the April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2024 staff meeting to be held in person.

Dean: There are usually several days at the end of the month that we can plug in an extra hearing date as the overflow date. It's not the length of the day but the number of cases. 12-15 cases a hearing day is a lot. The last Thursday of the month would work for the overflow hearing day.

Luci: Is the increase in case load going to continue or will it start to decrease? Can not attend the April staff meeting in person due to a scheduling conflict but can attend virtually.

Lauri: If any changes in how the board is going to hold hearings or composition, please include DOC and PRO in the discussions. Believes the number of cases will be increasing because of economic services that are being discontinued and historically this has caused an increase before.

- Scheduling Conflicts for the month of April

Thomas: No conflicts.

Patricia: No conflicts.

Wayne: No conflicts.

Luci: Not available on 04/18/2024.

Linn: 04/04/2024, 04/09/2024, 04/11/2024 not available. By the end of April, will be available on Wednesday.

<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	The board agreed on scheduling an additional hearing day the last week of the month for the overflow of cases.
--------------------	--

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Update the tentative schedules to include the monthly overflow day.	Mary Jane Ainsworth	Open
December hearing officer assignments	Dean George	03/29/2024

6. TOPIC: ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION AT PAROLE HEARINGS.

PRESENTER: DEAN GEORGE

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Discussion regarding attorneys that attend and participate in parole consideration hearings.	
<u>Questions:</u>		
1. Is there any examples of where the attorney has been at these hearings and if there was a problem? (Luci)		
a. There haven't been any problems regarding this. The board wanted to make sure that the attorneys are aware that they can present information, but the offender needs to represent themselves		
<u>Attendees Input:</u>		
Dean: Normally, the board doesn't allow attorneys to represent offenders in consideration hearings. They have been allowed to be there to clarify or explain things for the offender. There can't be any cross examining of the offender or witnesses. This kind of assistance cannot replace the board members interview of the offender with the attorney's questioning. They can be an advocate for the offender.		
Jill: If there is anything that the PRO can do to assist with this in clarifying their role either with the board or the offender, please let them know as they are willing to do what needs to be done for these types of hearings.		
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Information only. No vote or action taken.	
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>
None at this time.		

7. TOPIC: LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

PRESENTER: MARY JANE AINSWORTH

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Review of legislative topics that relate to the Parole Board.	
<u>Questions:</u>		
1.		
<u>Attendees Input:</u>		
MJ: A miscellaneous corrections bill is in the House right now and if voted out. Part of that bill has to deal with earned time. This would allow all offenders, on parole or not, to earn 7 days a month off of their maximum sentence. There are exceptions to who can earn and what could make people lose that month's earned time. It doesn't change the boards early discharge. About 14% of people waived their minimum hearing and 12% did not want a subsequent review because they want to receive earned time.		
Dean: This removed the barrier of people who don't want to be on parole because they want to keep getting the earned time off their sentence.		
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Information only. No vote or action taken.	
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>
None at this time.		

8. TOPIC: EXECUTIVE SESSION

PRESENTER: DEAN GEORGE

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<u>Introduction of the Topic:</u> Executive session for legal discussion.	
<u>Attendees Input:</u>		
Patricia made the motion for the board to move to executive session to discuss legal issues. Luci seconded. Vote: 6 in favor, 1 absent. The executive session was granted.		
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	Information only. No vote or action taken.	
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</b>	<b>DEADLINE</b>
None at this time.		

<b>CLOSING</b>	Patricia made the motion to adjourn the meeting. Wayne seconded. The Parole Board Staff Meeting was adjourned.
<b>NEXT MEETING DATE</b>	April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2024 at 9:00. This meeting will be in person. Location to be determined.

MONTH	SITE	MEMBER NAME	OFFENDER NAME	Criminal & Parole History	Ability to Control Behavior	Responsivity (Programming)	Institutional / Community Behavior	Offender Change	Release Plan	Case Specific Factors	Victim Consideratons	Community Considerations
MARCH	HAPP	GIFFIN		A	M	M	A/N	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	HAPP	BOUCHER		A	A	N	M	M		N	N	N
MARCH	HAPP	DENGLER		A	M	N	M	N	M	N	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	GIFFIN		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	BOUCHER		A	A	N	A	A	A	A	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	DENGLER		N	A	N	A	N	A	N	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	GEORGE		A	A	N	A	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	BOUCHER		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	SSCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	GEORGE		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	A	N
MARCH	NWSCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	N	N	A	N	A	A
MARCH	NWSCF	DENGLER		A	N	A	A	N	N	N		N
MARCH	NWSCF	DENGLER		N	A	N	A	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	N	M	A	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NWSCF	DENGLER		A	A	N	A	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	GEORGE		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	GIFFIN		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	GIFFIN		A	M	M	A	M	A	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	STEPHENS		A	A	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	GEORGE		A	A	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	GEORGE		A	A	N	A	N	A	N	N	N
MARCH	CRCF	STEPHENS		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	A	N
MARCH	CRCF	GIFFIN		A	A	A	A	A	M	N	N	N
MARCH	BUPP	CAROLEO		A	A	M	M	N/M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	BUPP	BOUCHER		A	M	M	M	M	M	N	A	N
MARCH	BUPP	DENGLER		A	N	N	A	N	M	N	N	N
MARCH	MOPP	DENGLER		A	A	N	A	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	MOPP	BOUCHER		A	A/M	A	M	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	MOPP	CAROLEO		A	A	N	A	A	M	N	A	N
MARCH	SAPP	BOUCHER		A	M	M	M	M	N	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	GIFFIN		A	A	M	A	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	CAROLEO		A	A	M	M	M	N	N	N	N

Section not completed

Significant Difference

MONTH	SITE	MEMBER NAME	OFFENDER NAME	Criminal & Parole History	Ability to Control Behavior	Responsivity (Programming)	Institutional / Community Behavior	Offender Change	Release Plan	Case Specific Factors	Victim Consideratons	Community Considerations
MARCH	SAPP	BOUCHER		A	M	M	M	M	A	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	GIFFIN		N	M	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	CAROLEO		A	A	M	N	N	N	N	N	
MARCH	SAPP	BOUCHER		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	GIFFIN		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	SAPP	CAROLEO		A	A	A	A	A	N	N	A	A
MARCH	NEPP	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	N	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NEPP	STEPHENS		N	A	M	N	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NEPP	CAROLEO		A	A	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NEPP	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NEPP	STEPHENS		A	A	N	N	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NEPP	CAROLEO		A	A	N	N	A	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	GIFFIN		A	A	N	A	A	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	BOUCHER		A	A	A	N	A	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	CAROLEO		A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	CAROLEO		A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	BOUCHER		A	A	A	N	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NECC	GIFFIN		A	A	M	A	M	M	N	N	N
MARCH	NSCF	GEORGE		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N
MARCH	NSCF	BOUCHER		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
MARCH	NSCF	DENGLER		A	A	A	A	A	M	N	N	N
MARCH	OOS	GEORGE		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	A	N
MARCH	OOS	BOUCHER		A	N	A	N	N	N	N	A	N
MARCH	OOS	DENGLER		A	A	A	N	N	N	N	A	N
APRIL	SAPP	DENGLER		A	M	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	SAPP	CAROLEO		A	N	N	N	N	M	N	N	N
APRIL	SAPP	GEORGE		A	M	N	N	M	N	N	N	N
APRIL	SAPP	GEORGE		N	N	M	N	M	N	N	N	N
APRIL	SAPP	CAROLEO		A	A	M	M	N	M	N	N	N
APRIL	SAPP	DENGLER		A	N	N	M	M	N	N	N	N
APRIL	SSCF	BOUCHER		A	A	A	M	A	A	N	N	N
APRIL	SSCF	GRASSI		A	A	A	M	A	A	N	N	N
APRIL	SSCF	DENGLER		A	A	A	M	A	N	N	N	
APRIL	BAPP	STEPHENS		A	A	A	N	A	N	N	N	N
APRIL	BAPP	BOUCHER		A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N
APRIL	BAPP	GIFFIN		A	A	N	A	A	A	N	N	N

Section not completed

Significant Difference

MONTH	SITE	MEMBER NAME	OFFENDER NAME	Criminal & Parole History	Ability to Control Behavior	Responsivity (Programming)	Institutional / Community Behavior	Offender Change	Release Plan	Case Specific Factors	Victim Consideratons	Community Considerations
APRIL	BAPP	GIFFIN		A	M	M	A	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	BAPP	BOUCHER		A	N	N		M	N	N	N	N
APRIL	BAPP	STEPHENS		A	A	M	N	N	M	N	A	N
APRIL	MOPP	STEPHENS		A	A	M	A	M	M	A	A	N
APRIL	MOPP	BOUCHER		A	N	M	N	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	MOPP	GIFFIN		A	A	M	N	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	HAPP	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
APRIL	HAPP	GIFFIN		A	M	M	N	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	HAPP	DENGLER		A	N	N	N	M	N	N	N	N
APRIL	MVRCF	DENGLER		A	N	N	A	N	N	N	N	N
APRIL	MVRCF	GIFFIN		A	A	M	A	M	A	N	N	
APRIL	MVRCF	GEORGE		A	A		N	N	N	N	N	N
APRIL	BEPP	GEORGE		A	A	N	N	N	M	N	N	N
APRIL	BEPP	GRASSI		A		M	N/M		M	N	A	N
APRIL	BEPP	STEPHENS		A	A	M	N	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	MIPP	STEPHENS		A	A	A	N	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	MIPP	GRASSI		A	A	A	A	N	M	N	N	N
APRIL	MIPP	GEORGE		M	N	N	N	N	M	N	N	N
APRIL	RUPP	STEPHENS		A	A	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	RUPP	GRASSI		A	M	N	M	M	M	N	N	N
APRIL	RUPP	GEORGE		Submitted form stated "No show"								
APRIL	NEPP	BOUCHER		A	M	M	M	M	M	N	N	N
MAY	NEPP	STEPHENS		A	A	M	N	M	M	A	N	A
JUNE	NEPP	CAROLEO		A	N	N	N	N	M	N	N	N

Section not completed

Significant Difference

**TENTATIVE**  
**VERMONT PAROLE BOARD SCHEDULE**  
**May 2024**

\*Hearings are being held over video conference using Microsoft Teams.

\*Information for attending individual hearings is located on the final schedule for the corresponding Correctional Facility or Probation & Parole Office.

<b><u>Date Of The Hearings</u></b>	<b><u>Locations Of The Hearings</u></b>
Tuesday, May 07, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• St. Albans Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Northwest State Correctional Facility</li> </ul>
Wednesday, May 08, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hartford Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Brattleboro Probation &amp; Parole</li> </ul>
Thursday, May 09, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Springfield Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Southern State Correctional Facility</li> </ul>
Tuesday, May 14, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bennington Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Middlebury Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Rutland Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Marble Valley Regional Correctional Facility</li> </ul>
Wednesday, May 15, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility</li> <li>• Burlington P&amp;P</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Parole Violation Hearings</i></p>
Thursday, May 16, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burlington Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Barre Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Morrisville Probation &amp; Parole</li> </ul>
Tuesday, May 21, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Newport Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Northern State Correctional Facility</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Parole Violation Hearings</i></p>
Wednesday, May 22, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Northern State Correctional Facility</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Parole Hearings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Out of State</li> </ul>
Thursday, May 23, 2024 at 8:45am	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• St. Johnsbury Probation &amp; Parole</li> <li>• Northeast Correctional Complex</li> </ul>

**\*\*\*\*\*Dates, times and location are subject to change.\*\*\*\*\***